

This analysis is a manual benchmarking of the number of individuals identified during a focussed testing session with the Multi Agency Screening Team – identified as the “Front Door” team in the documentation. The tool automatically generates eco maps of people and we wanted to check that the eco maps were accurate representations of the child’s record.

No data matching took place in the tool therefore where a person’s name is spelt in different ways they will appear in multiple instances. I.e John, Jon, Jhon.

#### Accuracy of the ecomaps analysis

For data protection purposes, the names have been anonymised and have been referred to as ‘Person 1’, ‘Person 2’, and so forth. An example from the ‘Front Door’ pilot workshop is below:

Instance of person mentioned (persons as identified manually by users from reviewing documents for 10 minutes)	Times mentioned	Total
Person 1	3	
Person 1 (Second instance)	2	
Person 1 (Third instance)	62	
<b>Total of Person 1</b>		<b>67</b>
Person 2	7	
<b>Total of Person 2</b>		<b>7</b>
Person 3	1	
Person 3 (Second instance)	18	
Person 3 (Third instance)	22	
<b>Total of Person 3</b>		<b>41</b>
Person 4	38	
Person 4(Second instance)	2	
Person 4 (Third instance)	16	
<b>Total of Person 4</b>		<b>56</b>
Person 5	34	
Person 5 (Second instance)	4	
Person 5 (Third instance)	1	

Appendix 7 – Ecomap Manual Validation Example

Person 5 (Forth instance)	26	
<b>Total of Person 5</b>		<b>65</b>
Person 6	1	1
<b>Total of Person 6</b>		<b>1</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>537</b>